arXiv:1511.05755v1 [math.OA] 18 Nov 2015

KSGNS CONSTRUCTION FOR τ-MAPS ON S-MODULES AND $\mathfrak{K}$-FAMILIES

SANTANU DEY AND HARSH TRIVEDI

Abstract. We introduce S-modules, generalizing the notion of Krein C^*-modules, where a fixed unitary replaces the symmetry of Krein C^*-modules. The representation theory on S-modules is explored and for a given $*$-automorphism α on a C^*-algebra the KSGNS construction for α-completely positive maps is proved. An extention of this theorem for τ-maps is also achieved, when τ is an α-completely positive map, along with a decomposition theorem for $\mathfrak{K}$-families.

1. Introduction

A *symmetry* on a Hilbert space is a bounded operator J such that $J = J^* = J^{-1}$. A Hilbert space along with a symmetry, forms a *Krein space* where the symmetry induces an indefinite inner-product on the space. Dirac [14] and Pauli [26] were among the pioneers to explore the quantum field theory using Krein spaces.

In quantum field theory one encounters Wightman functionals which are positive linear functionals on Borchers algebras (cf. [12]). In the massless or the guage quantum field theory, Strocchi showed that the locality and positivity both cannot be assumed together in a model. The axiomatic field theory motivates theoretical physicists to keep the locality assumption and sacrifice positivity by considering indefinite inner products (cf. [11]), and more specifically Krein spaces (cf. [10]), in the gauge field theory. In this context Jakobczyk defined the α-positivity, where α is a $*$-automorphism of Borchers algebra, in [19] and derived a reconstruction theorem for Strocchi-Wightman states.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $*$-subalgebra of a unital $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ containing the unit. Assume $P : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ to be a conditional expectation (i.e. P is a linear map preserving the unit and the involution such that $P(bab') = bP(a)b'$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$; $b \in \mathcal{B}$). A Hermitian linear functional τ defined on $\mathcal{A}$ is called a* P-functional *if the following holds:*

(i) $\tau \circ P = \tau$,
(ii) $2\tau(P(a)^*P(a)) \geq \tau(a^*a)$ *for all* $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

If we define a linear mapping $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ by $\alpha(a) = 2P(a) - a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then the P-functional τ satisfies

$$\tau(\alpha(a)\alpha(a')) = \tau(aa') \text{ and } \tau(\alpha(a)^*a) \geq 0 \text{ for all } a, a' \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Date: November 19, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E22, 46L05, 46L08, 47B50, 81T05.

Key words and phrases. α-completely positive maps, completely positive definite kernels, C^*-algebras, Hilbert C^*-modules, KSGNS representation, reproducing kernels, S-modules, τ-maps.

Thus P-functionals generalize α-positivity. The Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) construction for states, is a fundamental result in operator theory, which illustrates how using a state on a C^*-algebra we can obtain a cyclic representation of that C^*-algebra on a Hilbert space. Antoine and Ota [3] proved that using P-functionals one can obtain unbounded GNS representations of a $*$-algebra on a Krein space.

The usefulness of α-positivity in gauge field theory led to the concept of the α-completely positive maps which were introduced in [16] and for them a KSGNS type construction was carried out on certain modules called Krein C^*-modules where $\alpha^2 = id$ (i.e., order of α is 2). We extrend this study of α-completely positive maps for α not necessarily of order 2 and obtain a KSGNS type construction on a bigger class of modules called S-modules. To illustrate KSGNS construction we first need to recall some notions: We say that a linear map τ from a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ to a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is *completely positive* if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_j^* \tau(b_j^* b_i) c_i \geq 0$$

for all $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$; $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n \in \mathcal{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The completely positive maps are crucial to the study of the classification of C^*-algebras, the classification of E_0-semigroups, etc. The Stinespring theorem (cf. [33]) characterizes completely positive maps and if we choose the completely positive maps to be states it reduces to the GNS construction.

A *Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$* or a *Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module* is a complex vector space which is a right $\mathcal{B}$-module such that the module action is compatible with the scalar product and there is a mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \to \mathcal{B}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ for $x \in E$ and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ only if $x = 0$,
(ii) $\langle x, yb \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle b$ for $x, y \in E$ and for $b \in \mathcal{B}$,
(iii) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$ for $x, y \in E$,
(iv) $\langle x, \mu y + \nu z \rangle = \mu \langle x, y \rangle + \nu \langle x, z \rangle$ for $x, y, z \in E$ and for $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$
(v) E is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\| := \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2}$ for $x \in E$.

Definition 1.2. *Let E and F be Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-modules over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. For a given map $S : E \to F$ if there exists a map $S' : F \to E$ such that*

$$\langle S(x), y \rangle = \langle x, S'(y) \rangle \text{ for all } x \in E, y \in F,$$

then S' is unique for S, and we say S is adjointable *and denote S' by S^*. Every adjointable map $S : E \to F$ is* right $\mathcal{A}$-linear*, i.e., $S(xa) = S(x)a$ for all $x \in E$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The symbol $\mathcal{B}^a(E, F)$ denotes the collection of all adjointable maps from E to F. We use $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ for $\mathcal{B}^a(E, E)$. The* strict topology *on $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ is the topology induced by the seminorms $a \mapsto \|ax\|$, $a \mapsto \|a^* y\|$ for each $x, y \in E$.*

Kasparov [21] obtained the following theorem, called *Kasparov-Stinespring-Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (KSGNS) construction* (cf. [22]), which is a dilation theorem for strictly continuous completely positive maps:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be C^*-algebras. Assume E to be a Hilbert $\mathcal{C}$-module and $\tau : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ to be a strictly continuous completely positive map. Then we get a*

Hilbert $\mathcal{C}$-module F with a $*$-homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}^a(F)$ and $V \in \mathcal{B}^a(E,F)$ such that $\overline{span}\ \pi(\mathcal{B})VE = F$ and

$$\tau(b) = V^*\pi(b)V \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Let $(E, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and let J be a fundamental symmetry on E, i.e., J is an invertible adjointable map on E such that $J = J^* = J^{-1}$. Define an $\mathcal{A}$-valued indefinite inner product on E by

$$[x,y] := \langle Jx, y\rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in E. \tag{1.1}$$

In this case we say $(E, \mathcal{A}, J)$ is a *Krein $\mathcal{A}$-module*. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$, then $(E, \mathbb{C}, J)$ is a Krein space and in addition if J is the identity operator, then it becomes a Hilbert space. In the definition of Krein spaces if we replace the symmetry J by a unitary, then we get *S-spaces*. Szafraniec introduced the notion of S-spaces in [34]. Phillipp, Szafraniec and Trunk [27] investigated invariant subspaces of selfadjoint operators in Krein spaces by using results obtained through a detailed analysis of S-spaces. We introduce the notion of S-modules below:

Definition 1.4. *Let $(E, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and let U be a unitary on E, i.e., U is an invertible adjointable map on E such that $U^* = U^{-1}$. Then we can define an $\mathcal{A}$-valued sesquilinear form by*

$$[x,y] := \langle x, Uy\rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in E. \tag{1.2}$$

In this case we say $(E, \mathcal{A}, U)$ is an S-module.

If $U = I$, then $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $[\cdot,\cdot]$ coincide for the S-module $(E, \mathcal{A}, U)$. In the case when $U = U^*$, the S-module $(E, \mathcal{A}, U)$ forms a Krein $\mathcal{A}$-module. The following is the definition of an α-completely positive map which will play an important role in this article:

Definition 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra and $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an automorphism, i.e., α is a unital bijective $*$-homomorphism. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^*-algebra and E be a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module. If $(E, \mathcal{B}, U)$ is an S-module, then a map $\tau : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ is called* α-completely positive (or α-CP) *if it is a $*$-preserving map such that*

(i) $\tau(\alpha(a)) = U^*\tau(a)U = \tau(a)$ *for all* $a \in \mathcal{A}$;

(ii) $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^*)a_j)x_j\rangle \geq 0$ *for all* $n \geq 1$; $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ *and* $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$;

(iii) for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, *there is* $M(a) > 0$ *such that*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^*a^*)aa_j)x_j\rangle \leq M(a) \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^*)a_j)x_j\rangle$$

for all $n \geq 1$; $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$ *and* $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

Several operator theorists and mathematical physicists recently explored the dilation theory of $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$-valued α-CP maps using Krein C^*-modules (cf. [17, 16]) where E is a Hilbert C^*-module and $\alpha^2 = id_{\mathcal{A}}$. In this article we explore the KSGNS construction of certain maps between Hilbert C^*-modules which we define below:

Definition 1.6. *Let E be a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module, F be a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module and τ be a linear map from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$. A map $T : E \to F$ is called* τ-map *if*

$$\langle T(x), T(y)\rangle = \tau(\langle x, y\rangle) \text{ for all } x, y \in E.$$

The dilation theory of τ-maps has been explored in [7], [31], [32], [18], [20], etc.

For any Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$. Assume E to be a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module where $\mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and so $E \bigotimes \mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space where $\bigotimes$ denotes the interior tensor product. For a fixed $x \in E$ we define a bounded linear operator $L_x : \mathcal{H} \to E \bigotimes \mathcal{H}$ by

$$L_x(h) := x \otimes h \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We have $L_{x_1}^* L_{x_2} = \langle x_1, x_2\rangle$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in E$. This allows us to identify each $x \in E$ with L_x and thus E is identified with a concrete submodule of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, E \bigotimes \mathcal{H})$. We say that E is a *von Neumann $\mathcal{B}$-module* or a *von Neumann module over* $\mathcal{B}$ if E is strongly closed in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, E \bigotimes \mathcal{H})$. This notion of von Neumann modules is due to Skeide (cf. [30]). In fact, $a \mapsto a \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a representation of $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ on $E \bigotimes \mathcal{H}$, and therefore it is an isometry. Thus we can consider $\mathcal{B}^a(E) \subset \mathcal{B}(E \bigotimes \mathcal{H})$ and in this way $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ is a von Neumann algebra acting on $E \bigotimes \mathcal{H}$. The von Neumann modules were used as a tool in [8] to explore Bures distance between two completely positive maps. In [36], we proved a Stinespring type theorem for τ-maps, when $\mathcal{B}$ is any von Neumann algebra and F is any von Neumann $\mathcal{B}$-module. As in [36], in this article too at certain places we work with von Neumann modules instead of Hilbert C^*-modules because all von Neumann modules are self-dual, and hence they are complemented in all Hilbert C^*-modules which contain them as submodules.

In [20], for an order two automorphism α on a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the authors constructed representations of $\mathcal{A}$ on Krein C^*-modules by considering $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$-valued α-CP maps where E is a Krein C^*-module and proved a KSGNS type construction for τ-maps where τ is an α-CP map. This is the motivation for the approach taken by us to study the representation theory of τ-maps on S-modules in Section 2.

A C^*-algebra valued positive definite kernels were defined by Murphy in [24]. The reproducing kernel Hilbert C^*-modules, which generalizes the terminology of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (cf. [6]), were analysed extensively in [15] by Heo. Szafraniec [35] obtained a dilation theorem, which extends the Sz-Nagy's principal theorem [28], for certain C^*-algebra valued positive definite functions defined on $*$-semigroups. The KSGNS construction is a special case of Szafraniec's dilation theorem.

Motivated by the definition of τ-map, we introduced the following notion of $\mathfrak{K}$-family in [13]: Let E and F be Hilbert C^*-modules over C^*-algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. Assume Ω to be a set and $\mathfrak{K} : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ to be a kernel. Let $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ be a map from E to F for each $\sigma \in \Omega$. The family $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Omega}$ is called $\mathfrak{K}$-*family* if

$$\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x')\rangle = \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle x, x'\rangle) \text{ for } x, x' \in E;\ \sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega.$$

We obtain a partial factorization theorem for $\mathfrak{K}$-families in Section 3, where $\mathfrak{K}$ is an α-CPD kernel, with the help of reproducing kernel S-correspondences. We recall the definition of CPD-kernel below:

Definition 1.7. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be C^*-algebras. By $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$ we denote the set of all bounded linear maps from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. For a set Ω we say that a mapping $\mathfrak{K}:\Omega\times\Omega\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$ is a* completely positive definite kernel *or a* CPD-kernel *over Ω from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ if*

$$\sum_{i,j} c_i^*\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(b_i^*b_j)c_j \geq 0 \text{ for all finite choices of } \sigma_i\in\Omega,\ b_i\in\mathcal{B},\ c_i\in\mathcal{C}.$$

Accardi and Kozyrev in [1] considered semigroups of CPD-kernels over the set $\Omega=\{0,1\}$. Barreto, Bhat, Liebscher and Skeide [5] studied several results regarding structure of type I product-systems of Hilbert C^*-modules based on the dilation theory of CPD-kernels over any set Ω. Their approach was based on the Kolmogorov decomposition of a CPD-kernel. Ball, Biswas, Fang and ter Horst [4] introduced the notion of reproducing kernel C^*-correspondences and identified Hardy spaces studied by Muhly-Solel [23] with a reproducing kernel C^*-correspondence for a CPD-kernel which is an analogue of the classical Szegö kernel. Recently in [2], module-valued coherent states were considered and it was proved that these coherent states gives rise to a CPD-kernel which has a reproducing property.

2. KSGNS type construction for τ-maps

Assume $(E_1,\mathcal{B},U_1)$ and $(E_2,\mathcal{B},U_2)$ to be S-modules, where E_1 and E_2 are Hilbert C^*-modules over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. For each $T\in\mathcal{B}^a(E_1,E_2)$, there exists an operator $T^\natural\in\mathcal{B}^a(E_2,E_1)$ such that

$$\langle T(x),U_2y\rangle=\langle x,U_1T^\natural(y)\rangle \text{ for all } x\in E_1,\ y\in E_2.$$

In fact, $T^\natural=U_1^*T^*U_2$. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a C^*-algebra and $(E,\mathcal{B},U)$ be an S-module. An algebra homomorphism $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ is called an *U-representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(E,\mathcal{B},U)$* if $\pi(a^*)=U^*\pi(a)^*U=\pi(a)^\natural$, i.e.,

$$[\pi(a)x,y]=[x,\pi(a^*)y] \text{ for all } x,y\in E.$$

The theorems in this section are analogous to Theorem 3.2 of [18] and Theorem 4.4 of [16], and Theorem 2.6 of [20].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^*-algebras and $\alpha:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ be an automorphism. Suppose $(E_1,\mathcal{B},U_1)$ is an S-module where E_1 is a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module and U_1 is a unitary on E_1. If $\tau:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}^a(E_1)$ is an α-CP map, then there exist*

(i) a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module E_0 and a unitary U_0 such that $(E_0,\mathcal{B},U_0)$ is an S-module,

(ii) an U_0-representation π_0 of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(E_0,\mathcal{B},U_0)$ satisfying

$$V^*\pi_0(a)^*\pi_0(b)V=V^*\pi_0(\alpha(a)^*b)V \text{ for each } a,b\in\mathcal{A},$$

a map $V\in\mathcal{B}^a(E_1,E_0)$ such that $V^\natural=V^*$, and

$$\tau(a)=V^*\pi_0(a)V \text{ for all } a\in\mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}\bigotimes_{alg}E_1$ be the algebraic tensor product of $\mathcal{A}$ and E_1. Define a map $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle:(\mathcal{A}\bigotimes_{alg}E_1)\times(\mathcal{A}\bigotimes_{alg}E_1)\to\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\otimes x_i,\sum_{j=1}^m a'_j\otimes y_j\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^m\left\langle x_i,\tau\left(\alpha\left(a_i^*\right)a'_j\right)y_j\right\rangle$$

for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n;\ a'_1, \ldots, a'_m \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n;\ y_1, \ldots, y_m \in E_1$. The condition (ii) of Definition 1.5 implies that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is a positive definite semi-inner product. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for positive-definite sesquilinear forms we observe that K is a submodule of $\mathcal{A} \bigotimes_{alg} E_1$ where

$$K := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i \in \mathcal{A} \bigotimes_{alg} E_1 : \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle x_i, \tau\left(\alpha(a_i^*)a_j\right) x_j \rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

Therefore $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces naturally on the quotient module $\left(\mathcal{A} \bigotimes_{alg} E_1\right)/K$ as a $\mathcal{B}$-valued inner product. Henceforth we denote this induced inner-product by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ itself. Assume E_0 to be the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module obtained by the completion of $\left(\mathcal{A} \bigotimes_{alg} E_1\right)/K$.

It is easy to check that $(E_0, \mathcal{B}, U_0)$ is an S-module, where the unitary U_0 is defined by

$$U_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(a_i) \otimes U_1 x_i + K \text{ where } a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in E_1.$$

Indeed, U_0 is a unitary, because for all $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x, y \in E_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle U_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K\right), U_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \otimes x_j + K\right)\right\rangle \\
=\ & \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle \alpha\left(a_i\right) \otimes U_1 x_i + K, \alpha\left(a_j\right) \otimes U_1 x_j + K\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle U_1 x_i, \tau(\alpha(\alpha(a_i)^*)\alpha(a_j)) U_1 x_j\rangle \\
=\ & \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^*)a_j) x_j\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K, \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \otimes x_j + K \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and because U_0 is surjective. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle U_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K\right), \sum_{j=1}^{m} a'_j \otimes y_j + K \right\rangle \\
=\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle \alpha\left(a_i\right) \otimes U_1 x_i + K, a'_j \otimes y_j + K\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle U_1 x_i, \tau(\alpha(\alpha(a_i)^*)a'_j) y_j\rangle \\
=\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^*)\alpha^{-1}(a'_j)) U_1^* y_j\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{-1}(a'_j) \otimes U_1^* y_j + K \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $U_0^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a'_j \otimes y_j + K\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{-1}(a'_j) \otimes U_1^* y_j + K$. Define a map $V : E_1 \to E_0$ by

$$Vx := 1 \otimes U_1 x + K \text{ where } x \in E_1.$$

For each $x \in E_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Vx\|^2 = \|\langle Vx, Vx\rangle\| &= \|\langle 1 \otimes U_1 x + K, 1 \otimes U_1 x + K\rangle\| = \|\langle U_1 x, \tau(1) U_1 x\rangle\| \\
&\leq \|\tau(1)\|\|x\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that V is bounded. For each $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \in E_1$ we have

$$\left\langle Vx, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes y_i + K \right\rangle = \left\langle 1 \otimes U_1 x + K, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes y_i + K \right\rangle = \left\langle U_1 x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(\alpha(1)a_i) y_i \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_1^* \tau(a_i) y_i \right\rangle = \left\langle x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(a_i) U_1^* y_i \right\rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

From Lemma 2.8 of [16] there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$(\tau(a_i^*)\tau(a_j)) \leq M(\tau(\alpha(a_i^*)a_j)).$$

Indeed, for each $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $y_1, y_2, \ldots y_n \in E_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(a_i) U_1^* y_i \right\|^2 &= \left\| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(a_i) U_1^* y_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau(a_j) U_1^* y_j \right\rangle \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle U_1^* y_i, \tau(a_i^*)\tau(a_j) U_1^* y_j \rangle \right\| \\
&\leq M \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle U_1^* y_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^*)a_j) U_1^* y_j \rangle \right\| \\
&= M \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes y_i + K \right\|^2. \qquad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore using Equation 2.1 and Inequality 2.2, we conclude that V is an adjointable map with adjoint

$$V^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K \right) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_1^* \tau(a_i) x_i \text{ where } a_i \in \mathcal{A};\ x_i \in E_1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

For each $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A};\ x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in E_1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
V^\natural \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K \right) &= U_1^* V^* U_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K \right) = U_1^* V^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(a_i) \otimes U_1 x_i + K \right) \\
&= U_1^* \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(\alpha(a_i)) U_1^* U_1 x_i = U_1^* \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(\alpha(a_i)) x_i \\
&= V^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes x_i + K \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $V^\natural = V^*$. Define the map $\pi_0' : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_0)$ by

$$\pi_0'(a) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \otimes x_i + K \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ab_i \otimes x_i + K \tag{2.3}$$

for all $a, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}; x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in E_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\pi_0'(a)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes x_i + K\right)\right\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n aa_i \otimes x_i + K\right\|^2 \\
= &\left\|\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n aa_i \otimes x_i + K, \sum_{j=1}^n aa_j \otimes x_j + K\right\rangle\right\| = \left\|\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^* a^*)aa_j)x_j\rangle\right\| \\
\leq &\ M(a)\left\|\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^*)a_j)x_j\rangle\right\| = M(a)\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes x_i + K\right)\right\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E_1$. Thus for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\pi_0'(a)$ is a well-defined bounded linear operator from E_0 to E_0. Using

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \pi_0'(a)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes x_i + K\right), \sum_{j=1}^m a_j' \otimes x_j' + K\right\rangle \\
= &\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n aa_i \otimes x_i + K, \sum_{j=1}^m a_j' \otimes x_j' + K\right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left\langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^* a^*)a_j')x_j'\right\rangle \\
= &\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left\langle x_i, \tau(\alpha(a_i^*)\alpha(a^*)a_j')x_j'\right\rangle \\
= &\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes x_i + K, \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha(a^*)a_j' \otimes x_j' + K\right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
U_0\pi_0'(a^*)U_0^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j' \otimes x_j' + K\right) &= U_0\pi_0'(a^*)\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha^{-1}(a_j') \otimes U_1^* x_j' + K\right) \\
&= U_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^m (a^*\alpha^{-1}(a_j')) \otimes U_1^* x_j' + K\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha(a^*)a_j' \otimes x_j' + K
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n, a_1', \ldots, a_m' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_1', \ldots, x_m' \in E_1$, it follows that $\pi_0' : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_0)$ is a well-defined map. Indeed, $\pi_0' : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_0)$ is an U_0-representation. Define an U_0-representation $\pi_0 : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_0)$ by $\pi_0(a) := \pi_0'(\alpha(a))$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $V^\natural = V^*$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in E_1$ we obtain

$$V^\natural \pi_0'(a)Vx = V^*\left(a \otimes U_1 x + K\right) = U_1^*\tau(a)U_1 x = \tau(a)x.$$

Therefore $\tau(a) = V^\natural \pi_0(a)V$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, for each $x \in E_1$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we get

$$V^*\pi_0'(a)^*\pi_0'(b)Vx = V^*U_0\pi_0'(a^*)U_0^*\pi_0'(b)Vx = V^*U_0\pi_0'(a^*)U_0^*(b \otimes U_1 x + K)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= V^*U_0\pi_0'(a^*)(\alpha^{-1}(b)\otimes x + K) \\ &= V^*U_0(a^*\alpha^{-1}(b)\otimes x + K) = V^*(\alpha(a^*\alpha^{-1}(b))\otimes U_1 x + K) \\ &= U_1^*\tau(\alpha(a^*\alpha^{-1}(b)))U_1 x = \tau(\alpha(a)^*b)x = V^*\pi_0'(\alpha(a)^*b)Vx. \end{aligned}$$

From this equality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} V^*\pi_0(a)^*\pi_0(b)V &= V^*\pi_0'(\alpha(a))^*\pi_0'(\alpha(b))V = V^*\pi_0'(\alpha(\alpha(a))^*\alpha(b))V \\ &= V^*\pi_0'(\alpha(\alpha(a)^*b))V = V^*\pi_0(\alpha(a)^*b)V \end{aligned}$$

for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. □

In the following theorem we extend the KSGNS construction for τ-maps:

Theorem 2.2. *Assume $\mathcal{A}$ to be a unital C^*-algebra and $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an automorphism. Suppose $\mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and E is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let E_1 be a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module and E_2 be a von Neumann $\mathcal{B}$-module such that $(E_1, \mathcal{B}, U_1)$ and $(E_2, \mathcal{B}, U_2 = \mathrm{id}_{E_2})$ be S-modules. If $\tau : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_1)$ is an α-CP map and $T : E \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_1, E_2)$ is a τ-map, then there exist*

(i) *(a) a von Neumann $\mathcal{B}$-module E_3 with a unitary U_3 such that $(E_3, \mathcal{B}, U_3)$ is an S-module,*
(b) an U_3-representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(E_3, \mathcal{B}, U_3)$ with a map $V \in \mathcal{B}^a(E_1, E_3)$ such that $V^\natural = V^*$, and

$$\tau(a) = V^*\pi(a)V \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A},$$

(ii) *(a) a von Neumann $\mathcal{B}$-module E_4 which is an S-module $(E_4, \mathcal{B}, U_4 = id_{E_4})$ and a map $\Psi : E \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_3, E_4)$ which is a π-map,*
(b) a coisometry W from E_2 onto E_4 satisfying $W^\natural = W^*$,

$$T(x) = W^*\Psi(x)V \text{ for all } x \in E.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 we obtain the triple (π_0, V, E_0) associated to τ where $(E_0, \mathcal{B}, U_0)$ is an S-module. Here the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module E_0 satisfies $\overline{\text{span}}\ \pi_0(\mathcal{A})VE_1 = E_0$, $V \in \mathcal{B}^a(E_1, E_0)$, and π_0 is an U_0-representation of $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}^a(E_0)$ such that

$$\tau(a) = V^*\pi_0(a)V \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We obtain a von Neumann $\mathcal{B}$-module E_3 by taking the strong operator topology closure of E_0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, E_0 \bigotimes \mathcal{H})$. Consider the element of $\mathcal{B}^a(E_1, E_3)$ which gives the same value as V when evaluated on the elements of E_1, because E_0 is canonically embedded in E_3. We denote this element of $\mathcal{B}^a(E_1, E_3)$ by V. Fix $\lim_\alpha x_\alpha^0 \in E_3$ with $x_\alpha^0 \in E_0$. It is easy to check that sot-$\lim_\alpha \pi_0(a)x_\alpha^0$ exists for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The U_0-representation $\pi_0 : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_0)$ extends to a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on E_3 as follows:

$$\pi(a)(x) := \text{sot-}\lim_\alpha \pi_0(a)x_\alpha^0 \text{ where } a \in \mathcal{A},\ x = \text{sot-}\lim_\alpha x_\alpha^0 \in E_3 \text{ with } x_\alpha^0 \in E_0.$$

For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x = \text{sot-}\lim_\alpha x_\alpha^0$ and $y = \text{sot-}\lim_\beta y_\beta^0 \in E_3$ with $x_\alpha^0, y_\beta^0 \in E_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(a)x, y\rangle &= \text{sot-}\lim_\beta \langle \pi(a)x, y_\beta^0\rangle = \text{sot-}\lim_\beta (\text{sot-}\lim_\alpha \langle y_\beta^0, \pi_0(a)x_\alpha^0\rangle)^* \\ &= \text{sot-}\lim_\beta (\text{sot-}\lim_\alpha \langle \pi_0(a)^* y_\beta^0, x_\alpha^0\rangle)^* = \langle x, \pi(a^*)y\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{B}^a(E_3)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $U_3 : E_3 \to E_3$ be a map defined by

$$U_3(x) := \text{sot-}\lim_\alpha U_0(x_\alpha^0)) \text{ where } x{=}\text{sot-}\lim_\alpha x_\alpha^0 \in E_3 \text{ with } x_\alpha^0 \in E_0.$$

It is easy to observe that U_3 is a unitary, $(E_3, \mathcal{B}, U_3)$ is an S-module and the triple (π, V, E_3) satisfies all the conditions of the statement (i).

Let E_4' be the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module $\overline{\text{span}}\ T(E)E_1$. For each $x \in E$, define a map $\Psi_0(x) : E_0 \to E_4'$ by

$$\Psi_0(x)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_0(a_i) V x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(xa_i)\, x_i \tag{2.4}$$

for all $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in E_1$. Each $\Psi_0(x)$ is a bounded right $\mathcal{B}$-linear map from E_0 to E_4'. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \Psi_0(x)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_0(a_i) V x_i\right), \Psi_0(x)\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \pi_0\left(a_j'\right) V x_j'\right)\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n T(xa_i)\, x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m T\left(ya_j'\right) x_j' \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left\langle x_i, T(xa_i)^* T\left(ya_j'\right) x_j' \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left\langle x_i, \tau(\langle xa_i, ya_j'\rangle) x_j' \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left\langle x_i, V^* \pi_0\left(a_i^* \langle x, y\rangle a_j'\right) V x_j' \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left\langle x_i, V^* \pi_0(a_i)^* \pi_0(\langle x, y\rangle a_j') V x_j' \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_0(a_i) V x_i, \pi_0(\langle x, y\rangle) \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_0\left(a_j'\right) V x_j' \right\rangle \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in E$; and $a_i, a_j' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x_i, x_j' \in E_1$ for $1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le j \le m$. We denote by E_4 the strong operator topology closure of E_4' in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, E_4' \bigotimes \mathcal{H})$. For $x \in E$ define a mapping $\Psi(x) : E_3 \to E_4$ by

$$\Psi(x)(z) := \text{sot-}\lim_\alpha \Psi_0(x) z_\alpha^0 \text{ where } z{=}\text{sot-}\lim_\alpha z_\alpha^0 \in E_3 \text{ for } z_\alpha^0 \in E_0.$$

Note that the limit $\text{sot-}\lim_\alpha \Psi_0(x) z_\alpha^0$ exists. For all $z{=}\text{sot-}\lim_\alpha z_\alpha^0 \in E_3$ with $z_\alpha^0 \in E_0$ and $x, y \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Psi(x)z, \Psi(y)z\rangle &= \text{sot-}\lim_\alpha \{\text{sot-}\lim_\beta \langle \Psi_0(y) z_\alpha^0, \Psi_0(x) z_\beta^0\rangle\}^* \\
&= \text{sot-}\lim_\alpha \{\text{sot-}\lim_\beta \langle z_\alpha^0, \pi_0(\langle x, y\rangle) z_\beta^0\rangle\}^* = \langle z, \pi(\langle x, y\rangle) z\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since E_3 is a von Neumann $\mathcal{B}$-module, we conclude that $\Psi : E \to \mathcal{B}^a(E_3, E_4)$ is a π-map. Because E_4 is a von Neumann $\mathcal{B}$-submodule of E_2, we get an orthogonal projection from E_2 onto E_4 (cf. Theorem 5.2 of [29]) which we denote by W. Therefore W^* is the inclusion map from E_4 to E_2, and hence $WW^* = id_{E_4}$, i.e., W is a coisometry. Considering

E_4 as an S-module $(E_4, \mathcal{B}, U_4 = id_{E_4})$ it is evident that $W^\natural(x) = U_2^* W^* U_4(x) = W^*(x)$ for all $x \in E_2$. Eventually

$$W^*\Psi(x)V = \Psi(x)V = \Psi(x)(\pi(1)V) = T(x) \text{ for all } x \in E. \quad \square$$

3. A partial factorization theorem for $\mathfrak{K}$-families

Suppose $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are unital C^*-algebras. We denote the set of all bounded linear maps from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. Let α be an automorphism on $\mathcal{B}$, i.e., $\alpha : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a bijective unital $*$-homomorphism. For a set Ω, by a *kernel* $\mathfrak{K}$ over Ω from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ we mean a function $\mathfrak{K} : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$, and $\mathfrak{K}$ is called *Hermitian* if $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b^*) = \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma',\sigma}(b)^*$ for all $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. We say that a Hermitian kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ over Ω from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ is an *α-completely positive definite kernel* or an *α-CPD-kernel* over Ω from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ if for finite choices $\sigma_i \in \Omega$, $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

(i) $\sum_{i,j} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b_i)^* b_j) c_j \geq 0$,
(ii) $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b)) = \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$,
(iii) for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $M(b) > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b_i^* b^*) b b_j) c_j \right\| \leq M(b) \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b_i^*) b_j) c_j \right\|.$$

In this section we discuss the decomposition of $\mathfrak{K}$-families for an α-CPD kernel in terms of reproducing kernel S-correspondences which is defined as follows:

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C^*-algebras. An S-module $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}, U)$ is called an* S-correspondence over Ω from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ *if there exists a U-representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}, U)$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}$ is also a left $\mathcal{A}$-module with*

$$af := \pi(a)f \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Let Ω be a set. If $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}, U)$ is an S-correspondence from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$, consisting of functions from $\Omega \times \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$, which forms a vector space with point-wise vector space operations, and for each $\sigma \in \Omega$ there exists an element k_σ in $\mathcal{F}$ called kernel element *satisfying*

$$f(\sigma, a) = \langle k_\sigma, af \rangle \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A},\ f \in \mathcal{F},$$

then this S-correspondence is called a reproducing kernel S-correspondence *over Ω from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$. The mapping $\mathfrak{K} : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ defined by*

$$\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(a) = k_{\sigma'}(\sigma, a) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A},\ \sigma' \in \Omega$$

is called the reproducing kernel *for the reproducing kernel S-correspondence.*

In Theorem 3.1 of [9], Bhattacharyya, Dritschel and Todd proved that a kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ is dominated by a CPD-kernel if and only if $\mathfrak{K}$ has a Kolmogorov decomposition in which the module forms a Krein C^*-correspondence. Skeide's factorization theorem for τ-maps [31] is based on the Paschke's GNS construction (cf. Theorem 5.2, [25]) for CP map τ. Using the Kolmogorov decomposition we proved a factorization theorem for $\mathfrak{K}$-families in Theorem 2.2 of [13] when $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel. In Theorem 3.5 of [4], a characterization of a CPD-kernel in terms of reproducing kernel C^*-correspondences was obtained (also see Theorem 3.2, [15]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be a Hermitian kernel over a set Ω from a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ to a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Assume α to be an automorphism on $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ be a map from Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module E to Hilbert $\mathcal{C}$-module F, for each $\sigma \in \Omega$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *The family $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Omega}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family where $\mathfrak{K}$ is an α-CPD-kernel.*

(ii) *$\mathfrak{K}$ is the reproducing kernel for an reproducing kernel S-correspondence $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{K})$ over Ω from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$, i.e., there is an S-correspondence $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{K})$ whose elements are $\mathcal{C}$-valued functions on $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}$ such that the function*

$$k_{\sigma'}(\sigma, b) := \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{K}) \text{ for all } \sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega;\ b \in \mathcal{B}$$

and has the reproducing property

$$\langle k_\sigma, bf\rangle = \langle \alpha(b^*)k_\sigma, f\rangle = f(\sigma, b) \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Omega,\ f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{K}),\ b \in \mathcal{B}$$

where $bk_\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$(bk_\sigma)(\sigma', b') := \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b'b) \text{ for all } b' \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Further,

$$\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(xb)c, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x'b')c'\rangle = \langle \alpha(b)k_\sigma c, \langle x, x'\rangle b'k_{\sigma'}c'\rangle \tag{3.1}$$

for each $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$; $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$; $x, x' \in E$; $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$.

Proof. Suppose (ii) is given. Thus from the reproducing property it follows that

$$\sum_{i,j} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b_i^*)b_j)c_j = \sum_{i,j} c_i^* k_{\sigma_j}(\sigma_i, \alpha(b_i^*)b_j)c_j = \sum_{i,j} c_i^* \langle k_{\sigma_i}, \alpha(b_i^*)b_j k_{\sigma_j}\rangle c_j$$
$$= \left\langle \sum_i b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i, \sum_j b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j \right\rangle \geq 0$$

for all finite choices of $\sigma_i \in \Omega$, $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$. Further, for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$ we get

$$\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\alpha(b)) = k_{\sigma'}(\sigma, \alpha(b)) = \langle k_\sigma, \alpha(b)k_{\sigma'}\rangle = \langle b^*k_\sigma, k_{\sigma'}\rangle$$
$$= (\langle k_{\sigma'}, b^*k_\sigma\rangle)^* = k_\sigma(\sigma', b^*)^* = \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma',\sigma}(b^*)^* = \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b).$$

Finally, for a fixed $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and each finite choices $\sigma_i \in \Omega$, $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$ we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b_i^*b^*)bb_j)c_j \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i^* k_{\sigma_j}(\sigma_i, \alpha(b_i^*b^*)bb_j)c_j \right\|$$
$$= \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i^* \langle k_{\sigma_i}, (\alpha(b_i^*b^*)bb_j)k_{\sigma_j}\rangle c_j \right\| = \left\| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i, \alpha(b)^* b \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j \right) \right\rangle \right\|$$
$$\leq \|\alpha(b)^*b\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i \right\|^2 \leq \|b\|^2 \left\| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j \right\rangle \right\|$$
$$= \|b\|^2 \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b_i^*)b_j)c_j \right\|.$$

Thus the function $\mathfrak{K}$ is an α-CPD-kernel. On the other hand, for each $x, x' \in E;\ \sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(x), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x')\rangle &= \langle k_{\sigma}, (\langle x, x'\rangle) k_{\sigma'}\rangle \\ &= k_{\sigma'}(\sigma, \langle x, x'\rangle) = \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle x, x'\rangle).\end{aligned}$$

So $\{\mathcal{K}^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in\Omega}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family, i.e., (i) holds.

Conversely, suppose (i) is given. For each $\sigma' \in \Omega$ let $k_{\sigma'} : \Omega \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a map defined by $k_{\sigma'}(\sigma, b) := \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b)$ where $\sigma \in \Omega,\ b \in \mathcal{B}$. Let us define the mapping $bk_{\sigma'}$ by $(\sigma, b') \mapsto \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b'b) = k_{\sigma'}(\sigma, b'b)$ where $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$ and $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$. For fixed $c \in \mathcal{C}$ we define the function $k_{\sigma'}c$ by $(\sigma, b) \mapsto \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b)c = k_{\sigma'}(\sigma, b)c$ for all $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. In a canonical way define $(bk_{\sigma})c$ and $b(k_{\sigma}c)$ for all $\sigma \in \Omega$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the right $\mathcal{C}$-module generated by the set $\{bk_{\sigma} : b \in \mathcal{B},\ \sigma \in \Omega\}$ consisting of $\mathcal{C}$-valued functions on $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\sum_{j=1}^{m}(b_j k_{\sigma_j})c_j : b_1, \ldots, b_m \in \mathcal{B}; c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathcal{C}; \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m \in \Omega; m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that $(bk_{\sigma})c = b(k_{\sigma}c)$ for all $\sigma \in \Omega$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence we write $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j : b_1, \ldots, b_m \in \mathcal{B}; c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathcal{C}; \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m \in \Omega; m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{F}_0 \times \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\langle f, g\rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_j^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_j,\sigma_i'}(\alpha(b_j)^* b_i')c_i' \tag{3.2}$$

where $f = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j,\ g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i' k_{\sigma_i'} c_i' \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Further with $f = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j$ and $g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i' k_{\sigma_i'} c_i'$ in $\mathcal{F}_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j^* g(\sigma_j, \alpha(b_j)^*) \\ =\ & \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_j^* b_i' k_{\sigma_i'}(\sigma_j, \alpha(b_j)^*)c_i' = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_j^* k_{\sigma_i'}(\sigma_j, \alpha(b_j)^* b_i')c_i' \\ =\ & \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_j^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_j,\sigma_i'}(\alpha(b_j)^* b_i')c_i' = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_j^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_j,\sigma_i'}(b_j^* \alpha^{-1}(b_i'))c_i' \\ =\ & \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j^* \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i',\sigma_j}(\alpha^{-1}(b_i')^* b_j)^* c_i' = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j^* \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{\sigma_j}(\sigma_i', \alpha^{-1}(b_i')^* b_j)^* c_i' \\ =\ & \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j^* \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_j k_{\sigma_j}(\sigma_i', \alpha^{-1}(b_i')^*))^* c_i' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j}(\sigma_i', \alpha^{-1}(b_i')^*)c_j\right) c_i' \\ =\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\sigma_i', \alpha^{-1}(b_i')^*)c_i'. \qquad (3.3)\end{aligned}$$

Thus the function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined above does not depend on the representations chosen for f and g. Since $\mathfrak{K}$ is an α-CPD-kernel,

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j, \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i \right\rangle = \sum_{=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_j^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_j,\sigma_i}(\alpha(b_j)^* b_i)c_i \geq 0.$$

Therefore the map $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is positive definite. For $f := \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j \in \mathcal{F}_0$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma \in \Omega$, Equations 3.2 and 3.3, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\|f(\sigma,b)c\|^2 = \|\langle f, \alpha(b)^* k_\sigma c\rangle\|^2 \leq \|\langle \alpha(b)^* k_\sigma c, \alpha(b)^* k_\sigma c\rangle\| \|\langle f, f\rangle\|.$$

So $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$ vanishes pointwise if $\langle f, f\rangle = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{F}_0$ is a right inner-product $\mathcal{C}$-module with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{F}_0$. It is easy to observe that the linear map $f \mapsto ((\sigma,b) \mapsto \langle \alpha(b^*)k_\sigma, f\rangle)$, from $\mathcal{F}$ to the set of all functions from $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$, is injective. Therefore we identify $\mathcal{F}$ as a subspace of the set of all functions from $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$.

If $\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b'_i k_{\sigma'_i} c'_i$ are elements of $\mathcal{F}_0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b'_i k_{\sigma'_i} c'_i \right\rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_j^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_j,\sigma'_i}(\alpha(b_j)^* b'_i) c'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_j^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_j,\sigma'_i}(\alpha(\alpha(b_j^*) b'_i)) c'_i \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha(b_j) k_{\sigma_j} c_j, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(b'_i) k_{\sigma'_i} c'_i \right\rangle. \qquad (3.4)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get an isometry $U : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(b_i) k_{\sigma_i} c_i$. Moreover, from Equation 3.4, it is easy to check that U is a unitary with the adjoint $U^* : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ defined by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{-1}(b_i) k_{\sigma_i} c_i$. We define a sesquilinear form $[\cdot,\cdot] : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{C}$ as follows:

$$[f, f'] := \langle f, Uf'\rangle$$

where $f, f' \in \mathcal{F}$. Indeed, for $\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b'_i k_{\sigma'_i} c'_i \in \mathcal{F}$ we obtain

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b'_i k_{\sigma'_i} c'_i\right] = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(b'_i) k_{\sigma'_i} c'_i \right\rangle.$$

For each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ define $\pi(b) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ by

$$\pi(b)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j\right) := \sum_{j=1}^{m} b b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j \text{ for all } b' \in \mathcal{B}, \sigma \in \Omega, c \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Therefore for $b, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$; $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in \Omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\pi(b)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i\right)\right\|^2 &= \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} b b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i\right\|^2 = \left\|\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} b b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} b b_j k_{\sigma_j} c_j \right\rangle\right\| \\ &= \left\|\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b_i^* b^*) b b_j) c_j\right\| \\ &\leq M(b)\left\|\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\alpha(b_i^*) b_j) c_j\right\| = M(b)\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i\right\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $\pi(b)$ is a well defined bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{F}$. From

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \pi(b)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i\right), \sum_{j=1}^{m} b'_j k_{\sigma'_j} c'_j \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} b b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i, \sum_{j=1}^{m} b'_j k_{\sigma'_j} c'_j \right\rangle \\
= &\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma'_j}(\alpha(b_i^* b^*) b'_j) c'_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma'_j}(\alpha(b_i^*)\alpha(b^*) b'_j) c'_j \\
= &\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i k_{\sigma_i} c_i, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha(b^*) b'_j k_{\sigma'_j} c'_j \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
U\pi(b^*)U^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b'_j k_{\sigma'_j} c'_j\right) &= U\pi(b^*)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{-1}(b'_j) k_{\sigma'_j} c'_j\right) = U\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b^* \alpha^{-1}(b'_j) k_{\sigma'_j} c'_j\right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha(b^* \alpha^{-1}(b'_j)) k_{\sigma'_j} c'_j\right) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha(b^*) b'_j k_{\sigma'_j} c'_j\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $b, b'_1, \ldots, b'_n \in \mathcal{B}$; $c'_1, \ldots, c'_n \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma'_1, \ldots, \sigma'_n \in \Omega$, it follows that π is an U-representation from $\mathcal{B}$ to the S-module $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}, U)$ and $\mathcal{F}$ becomes an S-correspondence with left action induced by π. Indeed, using Equations 3.2 and 3.3, we can realize elements g of $\mathcal{F}$ as $\mathcal{C}$-valued functions on $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}$ such that they satisfy the following reproducing property:

$$g(\sigma, b) = \langle k_\sigma, bg \rangle \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Omega,\ b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Eventually, for each $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$; $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$; $x, x' \in E$; $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(xb)c, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x'b')c' \rangle &= c^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle xb, x'b' \rangle)c' \\
&= \langle k_\sigma c, b^* \langle x, x' \rangle b' k_{\sigma'} c' \rangle \\
&= \langle \alpha(b) k_\sigma c, \langle x, x' \rangle b' k_{\sigma'} c' \rangle. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3. *The U-representation π in Theorem 3.2 is not necessarily $*$-preserving, and $\pi(b^*)^* = \pi(\alpha(b))$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$. In addition if we assume $\alpha = id_{\mathcal{B}}$ in Theorem 3.2 (i.e., $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel), then U is the identity map and π becomes a $*$-preserving representation, and hence $\mathcal{F}$ is a C^*-correspondence. Define a linear map ν from the interior tensor product $E \bigotimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}$ to F by*

$$\nu(x \otimes bk_\sigma c) := \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(xb)c \text{ for all } x \in E,\ b \in \mathcal{B},\ c \in \mathcal{C},\ \sigma \in \Omega.$$

Then using Equation 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nu(x \otimes bk_\sigma c), \nu(x' \otimes b'k_{\sigma'}c') \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(xb)c, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x'b')c' \rangle = \langle bk_\sigma c, \langle x, x' \rangle b'k_{\sigma'}c' \rangle \\
&= \langle x \otimes bk_\sigma c, x' \otimes b'k_{\sigma'}c' \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x' \in E$; $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$; $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$; $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$. Hence ν is an isometry in this case. This yields a new factorization for $\mathfrak{K}$-families where $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel (cf. Section 2 of [31]*). In general case (i.e., $\alpha \neq id_{\mathcal{B}}$) we refer to Theorem 3.2 as a partial factorization theorem for $\mathfrak{K}$-families.*

Acknowledgements: Both the authors were supported by Seed Grant from IRCC, IIT Bombay. The second author would like to thank A. Athavale and F. H. Szafraniec for suggestions.

References

1. L. Accardi and S. V. Kozyrev, *On the structure of Markov flows*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **12** (2001), no. 14-15, 2639–2655, Irreversibility, probability and complexity (Les Treilles/Clausthal, 1999). MR 1857648 (2002h:46110)
2. S. Twareque Ali, T. Bhattacharyya, and S. S. Roy, *Coherent states on Hilbert modules*, J. Phys. A **44** (2011), no. 27, 275202, 16. MR 2805270 (2012i:81129)
3. J.-P. Antoine and S. Ōta, *Unbounded GNS representations of a *-algebra in a Kreĭn space*, Lett. Math. Phys. **18** (1989), no. 4, 267–274. MR 1028193 (92a:46061)
4. Joseph A. Ball, Animikh Biswas, Quanlei Fang, and Sanne ter Horst, *Multivariable generalizations of the Schur class: positive kernel characterization and transfer function realization*, Recent advances in operator theory and applications, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 187, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009, pp. 17–79. MR 2742657
5. Stephen D. Barreto, B. V. Rajarama Bhat, Volkmar Liebscher, and Michael Skeide, *Type I product systems of Hilbert modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004), no. 1, 121–181. MR 2065240 (2005d:46147)
6. Christian Berg, Jens Peter Reus Christensen, and Paul Ressel, *Harmonic analysis on semigroups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 100, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984, Theory of positive definite and related functions. MR 747302 (86b:43001)
7. B. V. Rajarama Bhat, G. Ramesh, and K. Sumesh, *Stinespring's theorem for maps on Hilbert C^*-modules*, J. Operator Theory **68** (2012), no. 1, 173–178. MR 2966040
8. B. V. Rajarama Bhat and K. Sumesh, *Bures distance for completely positive maps*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **16** (2013), no. 4, 1350031, 22. MR 3192708
9. Tirthankar Bhattacharyya, Michael A. Dritschel, and Christopher S. Todd, *Completely bounded kernels*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **79** (2013), no. 1-2, 191–217. MR 3100435
10. János Bognár, *Indefinite inner product spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 78. MR 0467261 (57 #7125)
11. P. J. M. Bongaarts, *Maxwell's equations in axiomatic quantum field theory. I. Field tensor and potentials*, J. Mathematical Phys. **18** (1977), no. 7, 1510–1516. MR 0446183 (56 #4512)
12. H.-J. Borchers, *On the structure of the algebra of field operators. II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **1** (1965), 49–56. MR 0182331 (31 #6554)
13. Santanu Dey and Harsh Trivedi, *$\mathfrak{K}$-families and CPD-H-extendable families*, to appear in Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, arXiv:1409.3655v1 (2014).
14. P. A. M. Dirac, *The physical interpretation of quantum mechanics*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A. **180** (1942), 1–40. MR 0010295 (5,277c)
15. Jaeseong Heo, *Reproducing kernel Hilbert C^*-modules and kernels associated with cocycles*, J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008), no. 10, 103507, 12. MR 2464627 (2010a:46136)
16. Jaeseong Heo, Jang Pyo Hong, and Un Cig Ji, *On KSGNS representations on Krein C^*-modules*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010), no. 5, 053504, 13. MR 2666982 (2011e:46097)
17. Jaeseong Heo and Un Cig Ji, *Radon-Nikodým type theorem for α-completely positive maps*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010), no. 10, 103505, 10. MR 2761319 (2012b:46104)
18. Jaeseong Heo, Un Cig Ji, and Young Yi Kim, *Covariant representations on Krein C^*-modules associated to pairs of two maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **398** (2013), no. 1, 35–45. MR 2984313
19. Lech Jakóbczyk, *Borchers algebra formulation of an indefinite inner product quantum field theory*, J. Math. Phys. **25** (1984), no. 3, 617–622. MR 737311 (85d:81084)
20. Un Cig Ji, Maria Joita, and Mohammad Sal Moslehian, *KSGNS type construction for α-completely positive maps on Krein C^*-modules*, arXiv:1404.5238 (2014).
21. G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), no. 1, 133–150. MR 587371 (82b:46074)

22. E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, A toolkit for operator algebraists. MR 1325694 (96k:46100)
23. Paul S. Muhly and Baruch Solel, *Hardy algebras, W^*-correspondences and interpolation theory*, Math. Ann. **330** (2004), no. 2, 353–415. MR 2089431 (2006a:46073)
24. Gerard J. Murphy, *Positive definite kernels and Hilbert C^*-modules*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **40** (1997), no. 2, 367–374. MR 1454031 (98e:46074)
25. William L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468. MR 0355613 (50 #8087)
26. W. Pauli, *On Dirac's new method of field quantization*, Rev. Modern Phys. **15** (1943), 175–207. MR 0010296 (5,277d)
27. Friedrich Philipp, Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec, and Carsten Trunk, *Selfadjoint operators in S-spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 4, 1045–1059. MR 2747013 (2012a:47092)
28. Frigyes Riesz and Béla Sz.-Nagy, *Functional analysis*, Dover Books on Advanced Mathematics, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1990, Translated from the second French edition by Leo F. Boron, Reprint of the 1955 original. MR 1068530 (91g:00002)
29. Michael Skeide, *Generalised matrix C^*-algebras and representations of Hilbert modules*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **100A** (2000), no. 1, 11–38. MR 1882195 (2002k:46155)
30. ———, *Commutants of von Neumann correspondences and duality of Eilenberg-Watts theorems by Rieffel and by Blecher*, Quantum probability, Banach Center Publ., vol. 73, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2006, pp. 391–408. MR 2423144 (2009i:46112)
31. ———, *A factorization theorem for ϕ-maps*, J. Operator Theory **68** (2012), no. 2, 543–547. MR 2995734
32. Michael Skeide and K. Sumesh, *CP-H-extendable maps between Hilbert modules and CPH-semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **414** (2014), no. 2, 886–913. MR 3168002
33. W. Forrest Stinespring, *Positive functions on C^*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 211–216. MR 0069403 (16,1033b)
34. Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec, *Two-sided weighted shifts are 'almost Krein' normal*, Spectral theory in inner product spaces and applications, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 188, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009, pp. 245–250. MR 2641256 (2011c:47068)
35. ———, *Murphy's Positive definite kernels and Hilbert C^*-modules reorganized [comment on mr1454031]*, Noncommutative harmonic analysis with applications to probability II, Banach Center Publ., vol. 89, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2010, pp. 275–295. MR 2730891 (2012a:46113)
36. Harsh Trivedi, *A covariant Stinespring type theorem for τ-maps*, Surv. Math. Appl. **9** (2014), 149–167. MR 3310198

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai-400076, India

E-mail address: santanudey@iitb.ac.in

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai-400076, India

E-mail address: harsh1@iitb.ac.in